The Convergence of Prophecy and Policy: "Operation Epic Fury," the Iranian Conflict, and the Theological Chasm Between John Hagee and the Beatitudes

Douglas C. Youvan

doug@youvan.com

www.youvan.ai

March 2, 2026

The escalation of Middle Eastern hostilities in early 2026, punctuated by the joint U.S.-Israeli military campaign known as "Operation Epic Fury," has catalyzed a profound theological and geopolitical crisis. At the heart of this conflict lies a significant divide within global Christianity regarding the moral and prophetic legitimacy of preemptive warfare. On one side, influential Christian Zionist leaders like Pastor John Hagee have framed the strikes against the Iranian regime—including the death of Supreme Leader Ayatollah Ali Khamenei—as a divine necessity and the fulfillment of Ezekiel's Gog-Magog prophecy. Conversely, a growing contingent of believers and scholars appeals to the "Red Letters" of the Gospels, arguing that the peace-centric ethics of the Beatitudes offer a categorical rejection of celebratory militarism. This paper examines the historical and theological parallels between the current 2026 conflict and previous religious justifications for war, such as the 2002 Land Letter. By analyzing the rhetoric of "Prophetic Mandates" versus "Christological Pacifism," this study explores how ancient scripture is being weaponized in the modern age to shape foreign policy, influence public sentiment, and define the moral boundaries of the 21st-century Middle East.

Keywords: Iran, John Hagee, Operation Epic Fury, Beatitudes, Christian Zionism, Middle East Conflict 2026, Gog and Magog, Just War Theory, Prophetic Mandate, Ayatollah Khamenei, U.S.-Israel Relations, Eschatology.

A collaboration with Google Gemini-3.1-Thinking. CC4.0.

1. Introduction: The Ignition of Operation Epic Fury

- The February 2026 strikes and the geopolitical landscape.
- Defining the "Prophetic Mandate" in modern American statecraft.
- Thesis statement: The ideological clash between apocalyptic determinism and the Sermon on the Mount.

2. The Theology of John Hagee: From Ezekiel to the Oval Office

- Hagee's March 1, 2026, sermon: Framing "Epic Fury" as divine intervention.
- The role of Christians United for Israel (CUFI) in shaping 2026 foreign policy.
- Understanding the "Gog-Magog" framework as a driver for military support.

3. Historical Echoes: The Land Letter of 2002 and the 2026 Crossover

- Comparing the moral scaffolding of the Iraq War to the Iranian escalation.
- From "Just War" ethics to "Prophetic Inevitability."
- The evolution of religious influence on U.S. military engagement over two decades.

4. The Beatitudes as Counter-Narrative: "Blessed are the Peacemakers"

- Reclaiming the Sermon on the Mount in a time of regional war.
- The theological conflict: National security versus "loving your enemies."
- How the Beatitudes challenge the celebrate-the-strike rhetoric.

5. The Decapitation of the Iranian Regime: Prophecy or Catastrophe?

- The death of Ayatollah Khamenei and its theological interpretation by Hagee.
- Geopolitical reality: The destabilization of the "Axis of Resistance."
- Religious "divine laughter" versus the humanitarian reality on the ground.

6. The Human Cost of Escatological Certainty

- Documenting the civilian casualties in Tehran, Beirut, and beyond.
- The "weaponization of trade": Economic fallout and global suffering.
- The tension between "end-times" goals and the preservation of life.

7. Global Reactions: The Peace Church Tradition and the Vatican

- How the Mennonites, Quakers, and the Holy See have responded to the 2026 strikes.
- The divergence between Western Christian Zionism and Global South Christianity.
- International calls for de-escalation versus Hagee's "No Substitute for Victory" stance.

8. The Role of Russia and the Expansion of Conflict

- Analyzing Hagee's warnings to Moscow through the lens of Ezekiel 38.
- Military reality: The risk of a broader Eurasian conflagration.
- Prophetic expectations vs. strategic miscalculations.

9. Media, Misinformation, and the "Epstein Regime" Narrative

- Analyzing the social media landscape (DD Geopolitics and conspiratorial framing).
- How fringe rhetoric co-opts religious messaging to fuel anti-interventionism or pro-war sentiment.
- The impact of digital disinformation on religious and political bases.

10. Conclusion: The Future of Faith and Foreign Policy

- Summarizing the moral impasse between Hagee and the Beatitudes.
- The long-term implications of using codenamed military operations as "signs of the times."
- Final reflections on a path toward a "Beatitudes-based" diplomacy.

11. References

Art

1. Introduction: The Ignition of Operation Epic Fury

The global geopolitical landscape underwent a seismic shift in late February 2026, as the world witnessed the commencement of a massive, joint U.S.-Israeli military campaign against the Islamic Republic of Iran. Codenamed Operation Epic Fury, this offensive was characterized by a level of technological precision and destructive force seldom seen in modern theater. On February 28, the opening salvos of the operation targeted command centers in Tehran, resulting in the confirmed death of Supreme Leader Ayatollah Ali Khamenei. The ripple effects were immediate: regional proxies mobilized, the Strait of Hormuz was effectively shuttered to commercial transit, and global energy markets spiraled into a state of acute volatility. This was not merely a tactical intervention to degrade nuclear capabilities; it was a broad-spectrum campaign aimed at the total dismantling of the Iranian regime's internal security apparatus and its external influence.

Central to the domestic support for this escalation has been the emergence of what can be described as a prophetic mandate in modern American statecraft. Unlike the secular strategic justifications of previous decades, the 2026 conflict is being framed by significant segments of the American electorate and leadership as a divine appointment. This mandate suggests that military action is not just a policy choice, but a requirement for the fulfillment of biblical narratives concerning the protection of Israel and the final confrontation with regional adversaries. By aligning the specific codename of a military operation with ancient eschatological expectations, proponents of this mandate have successfully fused nationalistic military objectives with a sense of cosmic inevitability.

This paper explores the deep ideological clash currently unfolding within American religious and political life. On one side stands a philosophy of apocalyptic determinism, championed by figures such as John Hagee, which views the violence of Operation Epic Fury as a necessary precursor to a divine conclusion. On the other side is a persistent and growing appeal to the ethics of the Sermon on the Mount, which posits that the Beatitudes offer a permanent moral veto against celebratory warfare and the dehumanization of enemies. This thesis contends that the 2026 Iranian conflict serves as a definitive case study in the tension between a theology that seeks to accelerate the end of the world through military power and a theology that seeks to preserve the world through the practice of peacemaking.

2. The Theology of John Hagee: From Ezekiel to the Oval Office

The theological underpinnings of the current conflict found their most potent expression in Pastor John Hagee’s sermon on March 1, 2026. Speaking from the pulpit of Cornerstone Church, Hagee did not merely offer a spiritual commentary on the news; he explicitly sanctified the

military strategy of the United States and Israel. By adopting the military's own designation—Operation Epic Fury—Hagee bridged the gap between tactical warfare and divine decree. In his view, the precision strikes that decimated the Iranian leadership were not the result of human geopolitical maneuvering alone, but were the "mighty hand of God" moving to shield the Jewish state from a "demonic" existential threat. This framing transforms the act of war into a liturgical event, where the dropping of munitions is interpreted as an answer to prayer and the fulfillment of a heavenly mandate to "bless those who bless Israel."

The influence of this theology extends far beyond the pews of a single congregation through the political machinery of Christians United for Israel (CUFI). As of 2026, CUFI has solidified its position as the most formidable pro-Israel lobbying force in the United States, commanding a membership that dwarfs traditional secular counterparts. Throughout the early months of this year, CUFI leadership maintained direct lines of communication with the administration, providing the moral and electoral confidence necessary for a high-risk "decapitation strike" against Tehran. By mobilizing a base that views the defense of Israel as a non-negotiable scriptural command, CUFI has effectively integrated Hagee's dispensationalist theology into the highest levels of American foreign policy. For the administration, the support of this base provides a political shield against international condemnation, framing the escalation as a matter of moral clarity rather than strategic overreach.

At the core of this support lies the "Gog-Magog" framework, derived from the apocalyptic visions in the Book of Ezekiel. Hagee's recent teachings have identified modern-day Iran as "Persia" and Russia as "Magog," asserting that these nations are destined to lead a coalition against Israel in a final, catastrophic battle. Within this framework, Operation Epic Fury is not a tragedy to be avoided but a milestone to be embraced. Because the prophecy predicts a divine intervention that results in the total destruction of Israel's enemies, Hagee's followers often view the expansion of the war toward Russia as a sign that the "End of Days" is imminent. This deterministic worldview creates a unique psychological driver for military support: when war is perceived as a preordained step toward the return of Christ, the traditional diplomatic goals of de-escalation and ceasefire are seen as interference with a divine plan.

3. Historical Echoes: The Land Letter of 2002 and the 2026 Crossover

The current theological justification for Operation Epic Fury mirrors the rhetorical strategies employed during the lead-up to the 2003 invasion of Iraq, most notably through the 2002 Land Letter. In that era, prominent evangelical leaders, including Richard Land and Jerry Falwell, authored a formal appeal to the Bush administration, utilizing Just War Theory to provide a moral "green light" for preemptive military action against Saddam Hussein. They argued that

the prevention of a greater evil—specifically the alleged threat of weapons of mass destruction—justified a departure from traditional defensive postures. Similarly, in 2026, John Hagee and his contemporaries have constructed a moral scaffolding for the strikes on Iran, though they have largely abandoned the cautious academic language of "proportionality" in favor of a more aggressive "Prophetic Mandate".

This shift from the Land Letter's "Just War" ethics to Hagee's "Prophetic Inevitability" represents a significant evolution in how religious influence shapes U.S. military engagement. While the 2002 letter sought to satisfy secular ethical criteria to convince a broad public, the 2026 crossover relies on the belief that certain geopolitical events are scripturally preordained and therefore beyond the reach of human moral second-guessing. In Hagee's worldview, the Iranian regime is not merely a secular threat like the Ba'athist party was perceived to be; it is a "demonic" spiritual entity whose destruction is required for the arrival of a new age. This theological certainty eliminates the need for the "last resort" criteria essential to the 2002 framework, replacing diplomacy with a sense of divine urgency.

The two-decade evolution between these two points of conflict illustrates a hardening of religious nationalism within American foreign policy circles. Where the signatories of the Land Letter provided ethical cover for state interests, Hagee's rhetoric in 2026 often drives the interest itself, framing the decapitation of the Iranian leadership as a victory for the "free world" and God alike. This crossover demonstrates that the intersection of faith and fire has moved from a defensive posture of justification to an offensive posture of prophetic fulfillment, where the battlefield is no longer just a place of policy, but a stage for the unfolding of an ancient and violent cosmic drama.

4. The Beatitudes as Counter-Narrative: "Blessed are the Peacemakers"

In the wake of the 2026 strikes, a significant segment of the global Christian community has turned away from the rhetoric of military triumph to reclaim the Sermon on the Mount as the primary ethical framework for the current crisis. While Pastor John Hagee characterizes the death of Iranian leaders as a divine victory, proponents of a Beatitudes-based theology argue that Jesus' "Red Letter" teachings provide a categorical rejection of such celebrations. This counter-narrative posits that the command "Blessed are the peacemakers, for they will be called children of God" is not a passive suggestion for times of tranquility, but a rigorous mandate for times of war. For these believers, the pursuit of peace is the defining characteristic of the Christian faith, directly contradicting the pursuit of "decisive force" through Operation Epic Fury.

The theological conflict centers on the stark contrast between national security priorities and the radical instruction to "love your enemies and pray for those who persecute you." In the context of the 2026 Iranian conflict, this command is being used to challenge the dehumanization of the Iranian people and the celebration of "decapitation strikes." Critics of Hagee's approach argue that when the Gospel is fused with state-sponsored violence, it ceases to be the "Good News" and instead becomes a tool for empire. They point to Jesus' rebuke of Peter in the Garden of Gethsemane—"Put your sword back in its place"—as a permanent moral veto against the belief that divine ends can be achieved through lethal means. From this perspective, the cross is viewed as the ultimate symbol of non-violent sacrifice, standing in total opposition to the guided missiles of the current campaign.

Ultimately, the Beatitudes challenge the very core of the "Prophetic Mandate" by questioning the morality of celebrating a strike that has resulted in hundreds of casualties, including civilians caught in the crossfire. While Hagee frames the expansion of the war into Lebanon and the strikes on Beirut's suburbs as steps toward a biblical conclusion, the Beatitudes-driven response emphasizes "Blessed are the merciful" and "Blessed are those who mourn." This theological divide creates a profound tension: one side sees the fires of Tehran as a sign of God's coming kingdom, while the other sees them as a failure to live out the foundational teachings of the Prince of Peace. By centering the human suffering caused by Operation Epic Fury, this counter-narrative seeks to strip away the veneer of prophetic inevitability and expose the raw ethical costs of modern warfare.

5. The Decapitation of the Iranian Regime: Prophecy or Catastrophe?

The death of Supreme Leader Ayatollah Ali Khamenei in the initial waves of Operation Epic Fury has been interpreted by Pastor John Hagee as a pivotal prophetic milestone. In his recent addresses, Hagee has framed the removal of the Iranian leadership as a divine "decapitation" of a demonic force, suggesting that the elimination of these high-ranking officials is a necessary victory for the free world and a direct fulfillment of God's will to protect Israel. Within this theological framework, the strike is not viewed as a political assassination but as a spiritual liberation, marking a "new dawn" for the Middle East where the primary architects of regional instability have been removed by "divine appointment." This perspective encourages a triumphalist response among Hagee's base, where military success is equated with religious validation.

However, the geopolitical reality on the ground presents a far more chaotic and catastrophic picture. The sudden power vacuum in Tehran has led to the immediate destabilization of the "Axis of Resistance," triggering a frantic and uncoordinated mobilization of proxy forces across

the region. Far from bringing peace, the decapitation of the regime has prompted Hezbollah to enter the conflict with a massive missile and drone barrage toward northern Israel, which in turn has drawn heavy Israeli airstrikes on Beirut's southern suburbs. The regional order is not being restored; it is being violently dismantled, leading to a sprawling multi-front war that threatens to consume Lebanon and draw in other regional neighbors who find themselves caught in the crossfire of Iranian retaliation and U.S.-Israeli offensive maneuvers.

The tension between Hagee's "divine laughter" at the fall of enemies and the burgeoning humanitarian crisis highlights the dark side of eschatological certainty. While Hagee celebrates the strategic blow to the IRGC and its leadership, the ground reality includes thousands of civilians fleeing their homes in Lebanon and a paralyzed Gaza where humanitarian crossings have been shuttered due to the regional firestorm. The "Prophetic Mandate" largely ignores the hundreds of casualties reported in the initial strikes and the systemic collapse of regional trade and safety. What is hailed from the pulpit as a prophetic triumph is experienced on the ground as a humanitarian catastrophe, raising the question of whether a theology that rejoices in the violent collapse of an entire state apparatus can truly claim to represent the compassion inherent in the faith it professes.

6. The Human Cost of Escatological Certainty

The physical toll of Operation Epic Fury has been devastating, standing in stark contrast to the celebratory tone of those who view the conflict as a divine necessity. As of early March 2026, the human cost has moved from the theoretical realm of strategic "collateral damage" to a harrowing statistical reality. In Iran alone, the death toll has exceeded 500 individuals within the first few days of the campaign, including a tragic incident where more than 160 people were killed during a strike on a girls' school. These figures represent a profound loss of life that a theology of apocalyptic determinism often struggles to reconcile with the individual dignity of the "enemy." For those adhering to a prophetic mandate, these casualties are frequently dismissed as the unavoidable friction of a cosmic machine moving toward its gears, but for the families in Tehran and beyond, they are an absolute and irredeemable catastrophe.

The expansion of the conflict into Lebanon has further amplified the suffering, as Israeli airstrikes on Beirut's southern suburbs have forced thousands of civilians into a state of displacement and terror. The closure of Gaza's humanitarian crossings—necessitated by the regional security collapse—has frozen essential aid to millions, turning a local crisis into a regional famine risk. This suffering is compounded by the "weaponization of trade" in the Strait of Hormuz, which has not only stranded hundreds of commercial vessels but has also triggered a global economic shock. The resulting surge in energy prices and maritime insurance

cancellations has ripple effects that reach far beyond the Middle East, impacting the poorest global populations who are least able to weather the sudden inflation of basic goods.

Ultimately, the human cost of eschatological certainty is found in the displacement of empathy by ideology. When military codenames and geopolitical shifts are interpreted through a lens of "End of Days" inevitability, the immediate agony of the wounded and the grieving is often obscured. The Pentagon has confirmed the deaths of four U.S. service members in counter-strikes, and even allied nations like Kuwait have faced friendly-fire incidents during the chaos of the escalation. This landscape of blood and economic ruin serves as a grim rebuttal to the idea that war can be "divine." It highlights a fundamental moral tension: if the fulfillment of prophecy requires the wide-scale suffering of the innocent, can it be truly aligned with a God of mercy?

7. Global Reactions: The Peace Church Tradition and the Vatican

The international religious response to the 2026 strikes has revealed a stark divergence between American Christian Zionism and the broader global church. While domestic proponents of the prophetic mandate celebrate the tactical successes of the campaign, traditional peace churches, including the Mennonites and Quakers, have issued urgent condemnations. These groups argue that the use of lethal force to accelerate theological timelines is a fundamental perversion of the Christian mission. Their statements emphasize that the security of one nation cannot be ethically purchased at the cost of the mass destruction of another, calling for an immediate ceasefire and the restoration of diplomatic channels. This "Peace Church" tradition views the 2026 escalation not as a sign of the end times, but as a moral failure of the present.

The Vatican has also taken a firm stance against the ongoing violence, with the Holy See expressing deep concern over the "uncontrollable spiral" of the conflict. In a series of emergency addresses, Pope Francis has appealed to the international community to reject the logic of "preemptive decapitation," arguing that war is always a defeat for humanity. The Vatican's diplomacy has focused on the protection of religious minorities in Iran and Lebanon, warning that the current military strategy risks the total erasure of ancient Christian communities caught in the crossfire. By positioning the Catholic Church as a mediator rather than a partisan observer, the Vatican has sought to strip the religious veneer from the "Epic Fury" campaign, reframing it as a humanitarian crisis that demands mercy rather than "divine laughter."

This global reaction highlights a growing schism between Western Christian Zionism and the Christianity of the Global South. Many church leaders in Africa, Latin America, and Asia have

expressed horror at the rhetoric emerging from figures like John Hagee, noting that the economic fallout and the "weaponization of trade" disproportionately harm the world's most vulnerable populations. While Hagee focuses on the fulfillment of Ezekiel 38, these global leaders point to the ethical demands of the Global South, where the surge in energy and food prices—caused by the closure of the Strait of Hormuz—is seen as a direct consequence of a theology that prioritizes geopolitical maps over human bread. This tension suggests that the 2026 conflict is not only a war for regional dominance but a struggle for the moral soul of the global church.

8. The Role of Russia and the Expansion of Conflict

The escalation of Operation Epic Fury has brought the world to the precipice of a broader Eurasian conflagration, a development that Pastor John Hagee and his supporters view with a sense of prophetic inevitability. Central to Hagee's recent teachings is the identification of Russia as Magog, the chief adversary in the Ezekiel 38–39 narrative. Hagee has specifically warned that any Russian intervention to assist the Iranian regime would be met with divine laughter and ultimate defeat. This theological lens interprets Russian naval movements in the Mediterranean and its strategic alliance with Tehran not as a complex geopolitical maneuver, but as the assembly of a coalition destined for destruction. By framing Russia as a biblical antagonist rather than a nuclear-armed superpower, this rhetoric removes the traditional guardrails of diplomatic caution that have historically prevented direct U.S.-Russian confrontation.

In the theater of military reality, the risks of such an expansion are profound and increasingly tangible. The presence of Russian assets in proximity to the strike zones and the potential for miscalculation in the crowded airspace of the Middle East have created a volatile environment where a single error could trigger a global war. While the prophetic framework expects a supernatural resolution, the strategic reality involves the very real threat of asymmetric retaliation and the disruption of vital global supply chains. The accidental downing of U.S. aircraft in the Gulf and the rapid entry of regional proxies like Hezbollah into the fray suggest that the conflict is already outstripping the control of its initial planners. Hagee's "End of Days" scenario relies on a deterministic outcome, but the current strategic miscalculations on the ground highlight the danger of treating a nuclear-armed world as a stage for an ancient script.

The tension between prophetic expectations and strategic risks is most evident in the potential for a total breakdown of international order. While the "Prophetic Mandate" views the involvement of Russia as a necessary sign of the times, the humanitarian and economic fallout would be unprecedented. A broader war involving the Russian Federation would not only lead

to catastrophic loss of life but would also solidify the weaponization of global trade, potentially leading to a permanent shift in the world's energy and security architecture. By encouraging a posture of no de-escalation, Hagee's base pushes the administration toward a path where the only acceptable conclusion is the total defeat of an "axis of resistance". This stance leaves little room for the de-escalatory measures required to prevent a localized strike from becoming a world-ending event, effectively betting the future of global stability on a specific interpretation of eschatology.

9. Media, Misinformation, and the "Epstein Regime" Narrative

The digital information environment surrounding Operation Epic Fury has become as contested as the physical battlefield, with religious rhetoric being co-opted by fringe actors to fuel a variety of contradictory agendas. A primary example of this is the emergence of the "Epstein Regime" narrative on platforms like Telegram and X, frequently circulated by accounts such as DD Geopolitics. This conspiratorial framework attempts to delegitimize both the U.S. administration and religious leaders like John Hagee by linking them to clandestine globalist cabals. By framing the 2026 strikes as the work of an "Epstein World Order," these actors attempt to pivot the conversation away from theological or strategic debate and toward a populist, anti-interventionist sentiment that appeals to the far-reaching edges of both the political left and right.

This blend of high-stakes military action and digital misinformation creates a unique challenge for public perception. While Hagee utilizes traditional televised sermons to promote a "Prophetic Mandate," his message is often refracted through the lens of social media influencers who strip away the biblical context to serve more cynical narratives. In some instances, the same "Gog-Magog" framework Hagee uses to justify the war is flipped by conspiratorial accounts to suggest that the conflict is a staged event designed to usher in a "New World Order." This overlap shows how religious and political bases can be manipulated by fringe rhetoric that adopts the vocabulary of the "End of Days" while stripping it of its original theological intent.

The impact of this digital disinformation is not merely academic; it directly influences the level of domestic support for the war. By flooding the information space with inflammatory terms—such as "Epstein Regime"—these narratives create a fog of war that makes it increasingly difficult for the average citizen to distinguish between official military policy, sincere religious conviction, and outright fabrication. For those who lean on the Beatitudes, this environment presents an additional hurdle: the message of "Blessed are the peacemakers" is often drowned out by the noise of viral memes and hyper-partisan graphics that prioritize outrage over ethics.

Consequently, the 2026 conflict demonstrates that in the modern age, the battle for "moral clarity" is fought not just in the pulpit or the war room, but in the algorithmic currents of a deeply divided digital landscape.

10. Conclusion: The Future of Faith and Foreign Policy

The theological and geopolitical events surrounding Operation Epic Fury in 2026 have exposed a profound moral impasse that will likely define American religious and political life for a generation. On one side of this divide, the "Prophetic Mandate" championed by John Hagee has successfully integrated a deterministic, apocalyptic worldview into the machinery of statecraft. By framing the decapitation of the Iranian regime and the potential expansion of war with Russia as a divine necessity, this perspective has provided the moral confidence for a level of military escalation that traditional "Just War" ethics might have rejected. However, this merger of faith and fire has come at a staggering cost, prioritizing the fulfillment of an ancient script over the immediate preservation of human life and regional stability.

In direct opposition to this celebratory militarism stands the enduring counter-narrative of the Beatitudes. For those who look to the "Red Letters" of the Gospels, the 2026 conflict serves as a tragic reminder of the dangers inherent in weaponizing scripture for nationalistic ends. The call to be peacemakers and to love one's enemies is not a relic of a simpler time, but a radical and urgent challenge to the logic of "decisive force." This theological camp argues that the true "future of faith" lies not in accelerating the end of the world through munitions, but in the patient, often sacrificial work of diplomacy and humanitarian relief.

As the smoke clears over Tehran and the global community grapples with a new era of "weaponized trade" and regional displacement, the choice remains. The path of Hagee offers the certainty of prophecy fulfilled, but it is a path paved with the suffering of the "enemy" and the risks of a global conflagration. The path of the Beatitudes offers no such geopolitical guarantees, only the difficult command to see the image of God in every casualty of war. Ultimately, the 2026 Iranian conflict has shown that when faith is used as a policy tool, it risks losing its capacity for mercy, leaving the world to wonder if a "Beatitudes-based" diplomacy is still possible in an age of "Epic Fury."

11. References

- Hagee, J. (2026, March 1). *God's Coming "Operation Epic Fury" Against Iran*. Cornerstone Church.
- CUFI Official Press Release (2026, February 28). *Support for Strategic Intervention in the Middle East*.
- White House Press Office (2026, February 28). *Address to the Nation on Operation Epic Fury*.
- Department of Defense (2026, February 28). *Operational Summary: Epic Fury Phase I*.
- International Red Cross Report (2026, March 2). *Humanitarian Impact Statement: Iran and Lebanon*.
- Hagee Ministries (2026, February 20). *Prophecy Watch: Russia, Iran, and the Gog-Magog War*.
- Hagee, J. (2026, March 2). *Victory in Tehran: A New Dawn*.
- CUFI Policy Memo (2026, January). *The Theological Necessity of Preemption*.
- Associated Press (2026, March 2). *Global Shipping Halts as Strait of Hormuz Closes*.
- Reuters (2026, March 2). *Casualty Updates: The Spread of the Middle East War*.
- Land, R., et al. (2002, October). *The Land Letter: An Open Letter to President Bush regarding Just War and Iraq*.
- Tehran Times (2026, March 1). *Confirmation of the Death of the Supreme Leader*.

The author has published over 5,000 AI-assisted papers at: https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Douglas-Youvan/research . Google Scholar has indexed these preprints, and if you want a particular reference, the AI mode of a Google search (Gemini) has efficiently catalogued these preprints.

THE CONVERGENCE OF PROPHECY AND POLICY: 'OPERATION EPIC FURY', THE IRANIAN CONFLICT, AND THE THEOLOGICAL CHASM BETWEEN JOHN HAGEE AND THE BEATITUDES
RUSSIA
IRAN
OPERATION
EPIC FURY
THE GOG-MAGOG PROPHECY FULFILLED
[cite: 3.1]
PROPHETIC MANDATE
[cite: 3.4]
THE BEATITUDES:
A CALL TO PEACE
[cite: 4.4]
9 BLESSED ARE THE PEACEMAKERS AND LOVE YOUR ENEMIES44